

# Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies

(A unit of Maharaja Agrasen Technical Education Society)

Affiliated to GGSIP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC

Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution

Sector- 22, Rohini, Delhi- 110086, India



**CRITERION 2**



## 2.3 TEACHING LEARNING PROCESS

*Metric 2.3.1*

## Criteria 2: Teaching Learning and Evaluation

### Key Indicator- 2.3 Teaching- Learning Process

**2.3.1: Student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem-solving methodologies are used for enhancing learning experiences and teachers use ICT- enabled tools including online resources for effective teaching and learning process**

Particulars	Page No.
Problem Solving Methodologies	1 - 33



## **Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**

Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC

Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

### **2.3.1. Student-Centric Methods - Problem Solving**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Particulars</b>
1	Case Study (Sample)
2	Case Law Analysis (Sample)
3	Assignment-Based Problem Solving (Samples)
4	Mooting
5	Intra Trial Advocacy Competition/Mock Trial

## **Paper-Marketing Management**

### **Paper Code-BBALLb 118**

#### **Assignment 1**

#### **Case Preview**

##### **Service Failure at Axis Bank: A Celebrity Lesson**

Dipika Pallikal (Dipika) was the top-seeded Indian squash player and the first Indian woman among the top ten of the WSA rankings. Arjuna Awardee (2012) Dipika was the youngest ever Padma Shri awardee (2014) too. Born on September 21st 1991 in Chennai (Tamilnadu state, India), Dipika was playing squash from the age of ten. She grew up in a sports environment at home as her mother Susan was the captain of the Indian women's cricket team and her father Sanjiv Pallikal played college-level cricket.

She played her first international squash tournament in London, 2003. In 2012, she became the first Indian to reach the finals of the Tournament of Champions squash meet in New York.

Dipika turned into a professional player in 2006 by joining WSA at the age of 15. She featured as a qualifier in the first round of the Hurghada International and Los Angeles Open before winning the British Junior U17 Open in 2008. Her first WSA World Tour title came in 2010 at the Indian Challenger No5 in India where she beats the then top two players Sharon Wee (Malaysia) and Emma Beddoes (England).

In 2011, she won the Equinox Orange County Open and the Dread Sports Series in United States. In the same year, Dipika reached the quarter-finals in the World Open in Rotterdam. Dipika continued her winning streak at various prestigious championships viz., the Crocodile Challenge Cup, Hong Kong (Winner-2011); Tournaments of Champions, United States (2012-Runner Up); Australian Open, (2012- Semi-finalist); Meadow Pharmacy Open, Canada (2013); Macau Squash Open, China (2013); Winipeg Winter Club Open, Canada (2015).....

#### **The First Ordeal**

In 2011, Dipika visited Rotterdam, Holland and participated in the Cathay Pacific Sun Hung Kai Squash Open championship. She initially stayed at the Brainpark Hotel, Rotterdam. Before her first round play she had to relocate to another hotel. She used her Axis Bank debit card to settle the hotel bills of about 490 Euros. The card was declined and the transaction did not go through. Dipika was surprised since she had sufficient balance in her account. The situation embarrassed her.....

## The Nightmare Continues

A month later in 2011, Dipika deposited a cheque of INR100,000 (1355 Euro) to be credited to her Axis bank account. The cheque was an award by Union Sports Ministry, Government of India, for her contribution to the field of sports. Axis bank did not credit the money and instead returned the cheque stating 'not drawn to us'. After some persuasive correspondence from Dipika, Axis bank eventually credited the amount to her account. Axis bank defended its failure in honouring a government cheque to a 'technical error'.....

### Bank's Defence

Refusing to accept any blame, Axis Bank adopted a fault finding approach. In its affidavit filed in the court, the Bank stated: "The very fact that the complainant is not able to take the slightest disturbance would prove that she lacks the requisite mental toughness of a world champion." It attributed the debit card transaction failure to an 'act of God'. Axis Bank went on to say, "She is only making excuses for her non-performance and it is unfortunate and unethical to allege criminal acts on the bank for a technical failure that took place in a foreign soil on which bank has no control.".....

### Service Industry in India

The services sector contributes 66.1% to India's Gross Value Added growth (GVA in 2015-16) and has emerged as the largest and fastest growing sector of the Indian economy. Besides being the dominant sector, it has also contributed substantially to foreign investment flows, exports, and employment. India's services sector encompasses a variety of businesses. Some services like IT and telecommunications are very sophisticated, involving high technology and expertise, while some are simple like those of barbers and plumbers.....

### Emergence of Axis Bank

Axis Bank (formerly UTI Bank) was among the first new generation private sector banks operationalized in 1994. The Bank was jointly promoted in 1993 by government entities Specified Undertaking of Unit Trust of India (SUUTI) (then known as Unit Trust of India), Life Insurance Corporation of India (LIC), General Insurance Corporation of India (GIC), National Insurance Company Ltd., The New India Assurance Company Ltd., The Oriental Insurance Company Ltd. and United India Insurance Company Ltd.....

### Debit Card Operation

There are three types of Debit cards each supported with a distinct transaction technology. They are online debit cards, offline debit cards and prepaid debit cards. Most debit cards are online cards in which funds are immediately deducted from the consumer's deposit account. Offline cards come with a delayed settlement similar to credit cards. The third variety is prepaid cards (smart card) where prefixed denominations apply and one cannot use the card beyond the prefixed limit (it's as good as a gift card). Consumer's bank account is not debited in the case of purchase. The card value diminishes by the amount of the transaction.....

### The Brand Suffers a Setback

Over the recent past, Axis Bank was investing in brand building efforts by advertising through the print and electronic media. According to Harish Bijoor, Brand Expert and CEO, opined “Issues such as this (Dipika Pallikal issue) ruin reputation. Axis Bank is in the news for the wrong reasons due to this issue. The issue seems to be one of non-performance as far as the bank is concerned” According to him, the problem is more a lack of service mechanism in place than a failed transaction.....

#### The Impact of Absence of Recovery Strategy

On March 24th 2014, Dipika won her long-drawn legal wrangle against Axis Bank in the consumer court of District Consumer Redressal Forum, Chennai. Holding Axis Bank responsible for deficient service, the consumer court ordered the bank to pay a compensation of INR500,000 to Dipika as well as INR5,000 towards costs.....

#### Discussion Questions

Based upon the above information discuss the following questions:

- I. What are service expectations of customers like Dipika from bank?(15)
- II. What are the challenges of service delivery?(15)

**NOTE-UPLOAD SINGLE WORD PDF DOCUMENT ON GOOGLE CLASSROOM**



## Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies

Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC

Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

### CASE LAW ANALYSIS

#### Labour Law Presentation Schedule for 23.09.2020

#### B.A.LL.B VII Semester

SECTION A	Section B	Case Law Allotment
Aashish Lakra	Abhishek Vats	Rangaswami vs. registrar of Trade Unions, AIR 1962 Madras. 231
Aashna Madan	Abhishek Jain	Tamil Nadu Non Gazetted Govt. officer's Union vs. The registrar of Trade Unions, AIR 1962 Mad 234
Aayush Dawar	Abhishek Yadav	Registrar of Trade Unions vs. Govt. Press Employees Union (1976) Lab 1C 280 (mad)
Aayushi Dhawan	Avish Mangla	Delhi Police Non Gazetted Kramchari Sangh vs. Union of India, AIR 1987 SC 379
Aditya Mishra	Geetanjali Negi	Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanam Vs. Commr. Of Labour
Alok -	Haritima Singh	Govt. tools room and training centre's Supervisors and officer's association vs. Assistant Labour Comissioner (2002), Lab IC 103
Aman Kohli	Harsh Sharma	Registrar of Trade Unions vs. Mihir Kumar Gooha AIR (1963) Cal 56
Aniket Vashisth	Jatin Kumar	Registrar of Trade Union vs. Fire Services Work Union (1963) 1 LLJ 167
Ankit Bharti	Keshav Garg	Re. Inland Steam Navigation Worker's Union AIR 1936 Cal 59
Ankit Mahamna	Lavi Tyagi	Registrar of Trade Union vs. . Mariswami (1973) 2 LLJ 256
Anmol Sharma	Manav Mehra	R.S. Ruikar Vs. Emperor, AIR 1935 Nag. 149
Anuj Dua	Nagma Khan	Rohtas Industries Staff Union Vs. State of Bihar, AIR 1963 Pat. 170
Anurag Singh	Naman Jain	Standard Chartered Bank Vs. Chartered Bank Employees Union, AIR 1976 S.C. 425
Apoorv Jain	Neerja	Common Cause Vs. Union of India (1996) 1 CCC 242 NCDRC
Apoorv Setia	Neha Gururani	U.P. State Electricity Board & ors. Vs. Hari Shankar Jain & Ors Supreme Court, (1978) 2 LLJ 399
Apurva Bhutani	Nikita Gupta	Bagalkot Co. Ltd. V R.K. Pathan and other Supreme Court, (1961-62) 21 FJR528

Arjun Mittal	Nishtha Magan	Rohtak&Hissar District Electricity Supply Co LTD. & Another vs. State of U.P. & others supreme Court, (1966) 2 LLJ330
Arushi Goyal	Nitish Shrestha	Rajasthan State Road Transport Corporation and Another vs. Krishan Kant & Others (1955) 5 SCC 75
Ashneet Kaur	Palak Karla	M/S. GHAZIABAD ENGINEERING CO. PVT LTD. v. THE CERTIFYING OFFICERAND ANOTHER AIR 1978 SC 769
Ayush Kumar	Prachi Ahuja	SHAHDARA (DELHI) S AHARANPUR LIGHT RAILWAY COMPANY LTD. v. SHAHDARA-SAHARANPUR RAILWAY WORKERS' UNION Supreme Court, (1969) ILLJ 734
Bhavi Midha	Prashant -	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Bhavika Kohli	Pratishtha Negi	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Bhumika Popli	Prince Gupta	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Charvi Duggal	Puru Lekhi	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Deeksha Chugh	Ritwik Sharma	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Devesh Vaish	Riya Singh	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Dipasha Guglani	Rushant Malhotra	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Disha Chandra	Saahil Mongia	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Disha Moitra	Satyam Jaglan	Banglore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Vs. A Rajappa: Ratio of the Case
Drishti -	Sejal Tayal	General Manager, telecom vs. A Srinivasa Rao (1997) 8 SCC 767
Gaurav Yadav	Shalini Kashyap	Workmen of Dimakuchi Tea Estate vs. Management of Dimakuchi Tea Estate AIR 1958 SC 353
Gaurav Banerjee	Sheetal Rani	J.H. Jadhav Forbes Gokak Ltd. (2005) 3SCC 202
Gopal Singh	Shivam Khanna	State of U.P. vs. Jai Bir Singh (2005) 5 SCC 1
Harsh Yadav	Shivam Daga	Dharangadhara Chemical Works Ltd. v. State of Saurashtra AIR 1957 SC 264 : 1957 SCR 152



Harshit Si Gahlot	Shivangi Chawla	Mangalore Ganesh Beedi Workers v. Union of India (1974) 4 SCC 43
Ishaan Sharma	Shivani Verma	Indian Banks Association v. Workmen of Syndicate Bank AIR 2001 SC 946 : (2001) 3 SCC 36
Janhvi Mehra	Shourya Nandwani	A. Sundarambal v. Government of Goa, Daman & Diu AIR 1988 SC 1700
Janvee Dhingra	Shruti Bansal	T.K. Rangarajan v. Government of Tamil Nadu 2003 (6) SCALE 84
Jatin Balain	Shubham Sharma	Management of Chandramalai Estate v. Its Workmen AIR 1960 SC 902
Kanika Kapoor	Siddhant Bhardwaj	Hussainbhai v. Alath Factory Thezhilali Union (1978) 4 SCC 257
Kanishka Rawat	Sidhant Oberoi	Workmen of Dimakuchi Tea Estate vs. Management of Dimakuchi Tea Estate AIR 1958 SC 353
Kanksha Gupta	Simran -	J.H. Jadhav Forbes Gokak Ltd. (2005) 3SCC 202
Kapila Bishnoi	Sneha Singh Baghel	State of U.P. vs. Jai Bir Singh (2005) 5 SCC 1
Kriti Sakuja	Sourabh Sorout	B.R. Singh v. Union of India (1989) 4 SCC 710
Kriti Arora	Sunjoey Kaur Gulati	H.R. Adyanthaya v. Sandoz (India) Ltd. (1994) 5 SCC 737
Kritika Khanna	Tanmay Mongia	B.R. Singh v. Union of India (1989) 4 SCC 710
Kumari Priyanka	Vaibhav Dixit	Management of Chandramalai Estate v. Its Workmen AIR 1960 SC 902
Manika Bajaj	Vaishali Godia	T.K. Rangarajan v. Government of Tamil Nadu 2003 (6) SCALE 84
Manish K Garg	Vanshika Darbari	Workmen of Dimakuchi Tea Estate vs. Management of Dimakuchi Tea Estate AIR 1958 SC 353
Manvi Kakroo	Vanshika Gupta	J.H. Jadhav Forbes Gokak Ltd. (2005) 3SCC 202
Md Moiez Qureshi	Vikrant Dabas	State of U.P. vs. Jai Bir Singh (2005) 5 SCC 1
Mehul Jain	Vinay Kaushik	Dharangadhara Chemical Works Ltd. v. State of Saurashtra AIR 1957 SC 264 : 1957 SCR 152
Monesh Kumar	Yuvraj Kundu	T.K. Rangarajan v. Government of Tamil Nadu 2003 (6) SCALE 84
Pallav Sharma	Yuvraj Singh Yadav	Rangaswami vs. registrar of Trade Unions, AIR 1962 Madras. 231
Prachi Aggarwal		Tamil Nadu Non Gazetted Govt. officer's Union vs. The registrar of Trade Unions, AIR 1962 Mad 234

Sanchi	Thukral		Registrar of Trade Unions vs. Govt. Press Employees Union (1976) Lab 1C 280 (mad)
Sanchit	Sachdeva		Delhi Police Non Gazetted Kramchari Sangh vs. Union of India, AIR 1987 SC 379

Please Submit your case analysis brief by 10.12.2020 on google class room



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi - 110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

**Department of Journalism and Mass Communication**

**Academic Year: 2020-2021**

**Semester: 4**

**Assignment No. 1**

**(Unit No. 1)**

**Course/ Subject Code: BA(JMC) 101**

**Issue Date: 14<sup>th</sup> April 2021**

**Course/ Subject Title: Basics of Public Relations**

**Last Date of Submission: 25<sup>th</sup> April 2021**

Please Note:

1. There should be proper cover page for each assignment clearly mentioning Student's complete Name, University Enrolment No., Program, Semester, Class, Section, Assignment Number, and Subject Title. **Format of Cover page is attached herewith.**
2. Each assignment should be prepared by the student individually in his/her own handwriting.
3. A4 size ruled sheets should be used for writing the assignment.
4. Black or Blue pens should be used for writing the assignment.
5. Assignment pages should be serially numbered at the bottom of page.
6. The student should use Examples and Illustrations in support of the answers.
7. Do not use plastic folder for submission of assignment. Just staple properly all pages of an assignment.

Question No.	Description
Q.1	Write short notes on the following- <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Types of Publics</li><li>• Evolution of PR</li><li>• Scope of PR</li><li>• Differentiate between PR, Advertising and Publicity</li></ul>
Q.2	Define Public Relations. Describe the function of Public Relations.
Q.3	Describe PR as a marketing tool? Support with examples and case study.
Q.4	"People do not buy goods and services. They buy relations, stories and magic"- Seth Godin. Explain the statement.
Q.5	What are ethics? Explain the code of Conduct by PRSI and IPRA.



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi - 110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

**Department of Journalism and Mass Communication**

**Academic Year: 2020-2021**

**Semester: 1**

**Assignment No. 2**

**(Unit No. 2)**

**Course/ Subject Code: BA(JMC) 101**

**Course/ Subject Title: Communication: Concepts and Processes**

**Issue Date: 18<sup>th</sup> February 2021**

**Last Date of Submission: 28<sup>th</sup> February 2021**

Please Note:

1. There should be proper cover page for each assignment clearly mentioning Student's complete Name, University Enrolment No., Program, Semester, Class, Section, Assignment Number, and Subject Title. **Format of Cover page is attached herewith.**
2. Each assignment should be prepared by the student individually in his/her own handwriting.
3. A4 size ruled sheets should be used for writing the assignment.
4. Black or Blue pens should be used for writing the assignment.
5. Assignment pages should be serially numbered at the bottom of page.
6. The student should use Examples and Illustrations in support of the answers.
7. Do not use plastic folder for submission of assignment. Just staple properly all pages of an assignment.

Question No.	Description
Q.1	Write short notes on the following- <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Folk Media</li><li>• New Media</li><li>• Traditional Media</li><li>• History of Mass Communication</li></ul>
Q.2	Define Mass communication. Describe the characteristics of Mass communication.
Q.3	Describe the functions of Mass Communication. Support with suitable examples.
Q.4	Discuss various positive and negative effects of media on people.
Q.5	What is Mass Media Activism? Explain with appropriate examples.



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi - 110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

**Department of Journalism and Mass Communication**

**Academic Year: 2020-21**

**Semester: V**

**Assignment No. 4**

**(Unit No. 4)**

**Course/ Subject Code:BA(JMC) 305**  
**Issue Date:13<sup>th</sup> December 2020**

**Course/ Subject Title: Event Management**  
**Last Date of Submission: 20<sup>th</sup> December 2020**

Please Note:

1. There should be proper cover page for each assignment clearly mentioning Student's complete Name, University Enrolment No., Program, Semester, Class, Section, Assignment Number, and Subject Title. **Format of Cover page is attached herewith.**
2. Each assignment should be prepared by the student individually in his/her own handwriting.
3. A4 size ruled sheets should be used for writing the assignment.
4. Black or Blue pens should be used for writing the assignment
5. Assignment pages should be serially numbered at the bottom of page.
6. The student should use Examples and Illustrations in support of the answers.
7. Do not use plastic folder for submission of assignment. Just staple properly all pages of an assignment. **During online education mode, students should upload scanned copy of the assignment latest by due date.**

Question No.	Description
Q.1	Write short notes on the following- <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Basic evaluation process</li><li>• Methods of event evaluation</li><li>• Types of control</li><li>• MICE</li></ul>
Q.2	Describe in detail the steps involved in event controlling and monitoring.
Q.3	Explain the emerging trends in event management industry. Support your answer with an example.
Q.4	Analyze the skills required for a career in event management.
Q.5	Design a job description to be posted on a job portal to hire staff for a wedding management company.

**MAHARAJA AGARSEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT  
AND STUDIES**

**MAIMS INDUCTION MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2022**

---

**CONSOLIDATED EVENT REPORT  
(19<sup>th</sup> August 2022 to 20<sup>th</sup> August 2022)**

---

**Submitted by:  
Reporting Committee**

**Under the Guidance of**

**Dr. Nisha Dhanraj Dewani  
and  
Ms. Aarushi Agarwal**

# **MAHARAJA AGARSEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND STUDIES**

## **RELEASE OF THE MOOT PROBLEM**

The Moot Proposition of MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition 2022 was released on 21<sup>st</sup> June, 2022 along with the official brochure and detailed rule-book.

## **ABOUT THE MOOT PROBLEM**

The Moot Proposition of MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition 2022 laid emphasis on the Contract Law. In the matter, several crucial and relevant issues were raised before the District Court of city X of Republic of Indiana like;

- 1 Whether there is valid contract between PQRIL & RPL?
- 2 Whether there is breach of contract or not ?
- 3 Is RPL is liable to pay for damages for breach of contract?
- 4 Whether PQRIL is liable for recovery sustained by RIL or not?

## **SENDING INVITES FOR PARTICIPATION**

The Participation was requested via online mode of posters and advertisements on our social media handles of Moot Court Society of MAIMS.

## **PARTICIPATION DETAILS**

A total of 28 teams took part in the competition with a total of 84 participants. The Competition attracted participants from first year students of Department of Law, MAIMS.

# **MAHARAJA AGARSEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND STUDIES**

## **FORMATION OF COMMITTEES**

To proficiently direct the Competition, every one of the individuals from the Moot Court Society cooperated day and night and arranged everything beforehand. The Competition attracted the support of the entire Law Department from students to faculty members, all of them devoted themselves to ensure the successful conduction of the Competition. The Student Convenors of the Moot Court Society; Grishita Chopra and Prince Grover, along with the Moot Court Society's Core Committee comprising of Anshumita, Sonali Aggarwal, Riya Bansal, Avinash Singh, Ifrah Parvez, Simrit Chaddha, Sonika, Vinita Sejwal, Anuj Kapoor and Soumya spearheaded the execution and planning of the two day event.

## **MODE OF COMPETITION**

During the unprecedented times of the Covid-19 Pandemic, to ensure that the Virtual Courtrooms are well maintained and equipped with favourable perks the Competition was held through "Google Meet".

## **DAY 1: INAUGURAL & DRAW OF LOTS**

The Moot Court Society of Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies organized its Induction Moot Court Competition, 2022. The Inauguration Ceremony was scheduled to be held via "Zoom Application". The Competition unfolded completely in the course of 2 days, commencing from August 19th, 2022, to August 20th, 2022. The competition was started by our Convenor by welcoming the Dignitaries, Faculty, and Students. The event was graced by the presence of Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra, Principal, Department of Law, and Dr. Praveen Kumar Gupta, Head of Department of Law. Dr. Nisha Dhanraj Diwani, Faculty Convenor of MAIMS Moot Court Society, 2022 and Ms. Aarushi Agarwal, Co-Convenor MAIMS Moot Court Society, 2022 were also part of the Inaugural Ceremony of the event. Dr. Nisha Dhanraj Dewani, Convenor MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition, 2022, set the Competition in motion by welcoming the audience and providing introductory remarks for the two-day event.



## **MAHARAJA AGARSEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND STUDIES**

Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra, Principal, Department of Law discussed the importance of mooting for a law student to put forward a case diligently before the court of law. She emphasized the importance of participative learning through Moot Courts as well. The Head of Department of Law, Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta thanked the teams and wished luck to the participants. Ms. Aarushi Agarwal, Co-Convener MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition, 2022 delivered a vote of thanks to the audience.

After the inaugural event, the student coordinators conducted the Draw of Lots and the participating teams received the teams' matrix for the Induction commencing from 20th August 2022.

### **DAY 2- INDUCTION ROUND**


On August 20, 2022, the Induction Competition was conducted with conscientious zeal and confidence. A total of 28 teams (consisting of 2 speakers and one researcher) from the first year participated in the Competition with enthusiasm. The Induction began with the Researcher's test which was on 19th August at sharp 7 pm. A total of 28 researchers took the test with great zeal and well-driven research.



On August 20 session started at 10 am sharp. There were a total of 28 teams and 14 courtrooms. The bench of each room consisted of 2 knowledgeable advocates. A total of 28 Judges dedicatedly and sincerely adjudicated the proceedings of the 14 courtrooms.

## **MAHARAJA AGARSEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND STUDIES**

The Judges included associates from top-notch law firms as well as established individual lawyers. There were 2 court masters in each courtroom to assist the honorable bench. The court masters acted as facilitators between the bench and the counsel. The session began with the teams presenting the facts of the cases and later presenting their arguments on the issues that arose. The speakers argued their respective sides of the case with commitment, and articulation and were profoundly affected by their proactive research. The learned Judges cross-questioned the speakers and tested their fine understanding of the edges of the law. The Judges took immense contentment in correcting the speakers and helping them all through the session from time and then. The Judges talked in different sections that the issues revived round. The Judges found the arguments and knowledge of a lot of teams are well carved and shaped.



The session concluded with valuable feedback from the Judges. The feedback involved the dos and don'ts of moot court competition. They also suggested the participants be aware of the court's procedures, laws, and terminologies. They asked the participants to focus on the judge's questions and to satisfy the judge. They also guided the participants to give directions to their arguments and not to submit any contention if they aren't sure about it. They also asked the participants to be ready for adversity.

The Judges appreciated the participants for their knowledge and theoretical essentials of Law and Legal subjects. The Judges had luminous experience in providing knowledge of the Law and so provided valuable key points to the participants. They gave positive feedback to the team members.

# **MAHARAJA AGARSEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT AND STUDIES**

## **VALEDICTORY**

Ms. Sonika Singla, PR Head of Moot Court Society, MAIMS extended a very warm welcome to all the dignitaries, the Faculty and the audience. Ms. Sonika Singla requested Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta, Head of Department of Law, to announce the results of Session 1.

Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta sir announced the results for the same as following:

- 1. Best Team- TC- 45; Aayushi Thakral, Khushi Goel, Beauty Singh**
- 2. Best Speaker- Aayushi Thakral TC-45**
- 3. Best Researcher- Khushi Goel TC-45**
- 4. Best Memorial- TC-43; Pratham , Rishi, Prabhleen Kaur**

Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta and Dr Nisha Dhanraj Dewani, Co-Convenor of Moot Court Society, MAIMS congratulated the teams and boosted their morale.

Ms. Sonika Singla, PR Head of Moot Court Society, extended the Vote of Thanks to the Principal, Head of Department of Law, Faculty, Core Team and the participants.

# **MAIMS INDUCTION MOOT COURT COMPETITION 2021**

---

## **CONSOLIDATED EVENT REPORT**

**(5<sup>th</sup> June 2021 to 6<sup>th</sup> June 2021)**

---

**Submitted by:  
Reporting Committee**

**Under the Guidance of  
  
Dr. Nisha Dhanraj Dewani  
and  
Ms. Aarushi Agarwal**

## RELEASE OF THE MOOT PROBLEM

The Moot Proposition of MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition 2021 was released on 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2021 along with the official brochure and detailed rule-book.

## ABOUT THE MOOT PROBLEM

The Moot Proposition of MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition 2021 laid emphasis on the Contract Law. In the matter, several crucial and relevant issues were raised before the District Court of city X of Republic of Indiana like;

1. Whether the suit filed by M/S PQR (P) Ltd. is maintainable or not?
2. Whether the contract entered between the company and Sukh is valid or not?
3. Whether the remedies sought by the company against the minor are maintainable or not?

## SENDING INVITES FOR PARTICIPATION

The Participation was requested via online mode of posters and advertisements on our social media handles of Moot Court Society of MAIMS.

## PARTICIPATION DETAILS

A total of 40 teams took part in the competition with a total of 120 participants. The Competition attracted participants from first, second and third year students of Department of Law, MAIMS.

## FORMATION OF COMMITTEES

To proficiently direct the Competition, every one of the individuals from the Moot Court Society cooperated day and night and arranged everything beforehand. The Competition attracted the support of the entire Law Department from students to faculty members; all of them devoted themselves to ensure



the successful conduction of the Competition. The Student Convenors of the Moot Court Society; Shivam Sethi and Sarthak Budhiraja, along with the Moot Court Society’s Core Committee comprising of Ayushman Patnaik, Jhanvi Tanwar, Shivangi Singh, Harsh Maheshwari, Dipanita Singh, Harshit Arora, Chahat Mittal, spearheaded the execution and planning of the two day event.

## MODE OF COMPETITION


During the unprecedented times of the Covid-19 Pandemic, to ensure that the Virtual Courtrooms are well maintained and equipped with favourable perks the Competition was held through “Google Meet”.

## DAY 1: INAUGURAL & DRAW OF LOTS

The Moot Court Society of Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies organized its Induction Moot Court Competition, 2021. In the wake of the COVID-19 Global pandemic, the Inauguration Ceremony was scheduled to be held via “Zoom Application”. The Competition unfolded completely in the course of 2 days, commencing from June 5, 2021, to June 6, 2021. The competition was started by our Convenor by welcoming the Dignitaries, Faculty, and Students.

The event was graced by the presence of Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra, Principal, Department of Law, and Dr. Praveen Kumar Gupta, Head of Department of Law.

Dr. Nisha Dhanraj Diwani, Faculty Convenor of MAIMS Moot Court Society, 2021 and Ms. Aarushi Agarwal, Co-Convenor MAIMS Moot Court Society, 2021 were also part of the Inaugural Ceremony of the event.



Dr. Nisha Dhanraj Dewani, Convener MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition, 2021, set the Competition in motion by welcoming the audience and providing introductory remarks for the two-day event.

Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra, Principal, Department of Law discussed the importance of mooted for a law student to put forward a case diligently before the court of law. She emphasized the importance of participative learning through Moot Courts as well

The Head of Department of Law, Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta thanked the teams and wished luck to the participants.

Ms. Aarushi Agarwal, Co-Convener MAIMS Induction Moot Court Competition, 2021 delivered a vote of thanks to the audience.



After the inaugural event, the student coordinators conducted the Draw of Lots and the participating teams received the teams' matrix for the Induction commencing from 6th June 2021.

## DAY 2: INDUCTION COMPETITION

On June 6, 2021, with conscientious zeal and confidence, the Induction Competition was conducted with 2 sessions. A total of 40 teams (consisting of 2 speakers and one researcher) from the first, second, and third year, participated in the Competition with enthusiasm. The Induction began with the Researcher's test sharp at 8 am. A total of 40 researchers took part in the test with great zeal and well-driven research.



Session 1 started at 10 am sharp. This session was for First-year students. There were a total of 28 teams and 14 courtrooms. The bench of each room consisted of 2 knowledgeable advocates. A total of 28 Judges dedicatedly and sincerely adjudicated the proceedings of the 14 courtrooms. The Judges included associates from top-notch law firms as well as established individual lawyers. There were 2 court masters in each courtroom to assist the honorable bench. The court masters acted as facilitators between the bench and the counsel.

The session began with rigorous and knowledgeable arguments. The learned Judges cross-questioned the speakers and tested their fine understanding on the edges of the law. The teams presented their arguments and discussed several points of law on the issues raised. The Judges took immense contentment in correcting the speakers and helping them all through the session from time and then. The Judges talked on different sections that the issues revolved around. The Judges found the arguments and knowledge of a lot of teams are well carved and shaped.





The session concluded with valuable feedback from the Judges. The feedback involved the dos and don'ts of moot court competition. They also suggested the participants be aware of the procedures, laws, and terminologies of the court. They asked the participants to focus on the judge's questions and to satisfy the judge. They also guided the participants to give directions to their arguments and to not submit any contention if they aren't sure about it. They also asked the participants to be ready for adversity.



Session 2 began at 3 pm sharp. This session was for Second and Third-year students. There were a total of 12 teams and 6 courtrooms. Each room was adjudicated by 2 experienced and learned judge-bench. A total of 12 Judges adjudicated the proceedings of 6 courtrooms. There were Litigation Associates, Corporate Lawyers, AOR, and Advocates from the High Court and the Supreme Court as the eminent Judges of the Competition who were equipped with exceptional knowledge on the subject. This session too included two court masters in each courtroom to assist the honorable bench.

The session began with the teams presenting the facts of the cases and later presenting their arguments on the issues that arose. The speakers argued their respective sides of the case with commitment, articulation and were profoundly by their proactive research. The bench posed exploratory questions to the participants and tested their knowledge of the Law as well as facts. The Judges asked about the basic principles of Law like Colorable Legislation, Mitigating Factors, the Doctrine of Estoppel, the Juvenile Act, and the Partnership Act that governed the case as well as revolving around them.

The Judges appreciated the participants for their knowledge and theoretical essentials of Law and Legal subjects. The Judges had luminous experience in providing knowledge of the Law and so provided valuable key points to the participants. They gave positive feedback to the team members.



## VALEDICTORY

Mr. Shivam Sethi, Convenor of Moot Court Society, MAIMS and Ms. Shivangi Singh, Sponsorship head of Moot Court Society, extended a very warm welcome to all the dignitaries, the Faculty and the audience. Ms. Shivangi requested Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta, Head of Department of Law, to announce the results of Session 1. Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta sir announced the results for the same as following-



- 1. Best Team- TC-18; Manav Malhotra, Divam Garg and Umar Hashmi**
- 2. Best Speaker- Harshita TC-15**
- 3. Best Researcher- Vrinda Taneja TC-7**
- 4. Best Memorial- TC-5; Prince Grover, Vanshika Sharma, Purti Rathour**

Ms. Shivangi Singh requested Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra, principal of Department of Law, MAIMS, to announce the results of Session 2. Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra mam announced the results for the same as following-

- 1. Best Team- TC- 44; Avantika Wasnik, Juhi Wadhwa and Mahak Gupta**
- 2. Best Speaker- Juhi Wadhwa TC-44**
- 3. Best Researcher- Sanya Kathpal TC-51**
- 4. Best Memorial- TC-56; Vidhi Gupta, Grishita Chopra, Kavleen Kaur Khurana**



Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra and Dr. Praveen Kr. Gupta congratulated the teams and boosted their morale.

A short video made by Harsh Maheshwari, IT and Designing Head of Moot Court Society, showing the glimpse of the 2 day event was presented.



Mr. Sarthak Budhiraja, Convenor of Moot Court Society, extended the Vote of Thanks to the Principal, Head of Department of Law, Faculty, Core Team and the participants.

Ms. Aarushi Agarwal, Co-Convenor of Moot Court Society, congratulated everyone and guided them for future moot court competitions. She also assured the students that they have access to the faculty's guidance and team's help round the clock.



Mr. Shivam Sethi congratulated everyone and briefed the teams about the marking scheme and how the participants were marked. He also told about the do's and don'ts of Moot Court and also discussed the feedback from the Judges.

## **REPORT ON INTRA MOCK TRIAL**

**10 February, 2022**

The Department of Law, Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies conducted its Intra Mock Trial Competition on 10<sup>th</sup> February 2022 virtually. The competition was essentially a simulation of the court proceedings that unfold during a criminal trial including intricate aspects such as witness examination and presentation of final arguments.

A total of 11 teams participated in the competition along with a dummy team to achieve an even number of participating teams. Each team consisted of a maximum of three members which was generally a combination of two speakers and a researcher. The competition was adjudged by eminent practicing advocates and academicians having rich experience and expertise in criminal law.



The competition began at 9:00 AM with witness allotment which was followed by Saraswati Vandana. After half an hour of witness allotment the teams were guided to log in to their respective courtrooms and proceed with the commencement of the trial. The preliminary rounds started at 10:15 AM and concluded at 11:15 AM. The highest scoring winning teams



## **MAHARAJA AGRASEN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT STUDIES**

(A Unit of Maharaja Agrasen Technical Education Society)

Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC

Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution

Maharaja Agrasen Chowk, Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, INDIA

Tel. Office: 8448186947, 8448186950 [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

qualified to the final rounds of the competition. The final round of the competition was adjudged by Advocate Vikram Seth and Advocate Saran Manocha.

Post-completion of the rounds, the results were announced by the Principal, Department of Law. Prof. (Dr.) Rajni Malhotra Dhingra. The team consisting of Ms. Priyanshi Rai, Mr. Gauri Rajhans and Ms. Riya Bansal were declared as the winners of the competition. The team consisting of Mr. Shubham Paul, Mr. Prince Verma and Ms. Misha were declared as the Runners up team. Mr. Shubham Singh was adjudged as the Best Speaker and Mr. Sanyam Jain was declared to be the best witness. The award of Best Memorial was bestowed upon the team consisting of Ms. Anshita Khandelwal and Mr. Sarthak Budhiraja.

The competition was a rewarding experience for the students as it gave a close insight into the working of the court rooms and conduct in criminal trial. It helped in enhancing the oratory and research skill of the students which is an asset for any budding lawyer.



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

## **REPORT ON INTRA TRIAL ADVOCACY COMPETITION**

**13<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 - 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2020**

School of Law, MAIMS organised its first **virtual Intra Trial Advocacy Competition**, over a span of 2 days, 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 and 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 8 teams with a combination of all three years participated with utmost enthusiasm and zeal and a hunger to learn.

The organizing committee briefed the participants once again about the rules and regulations on 11<sup>th</sup> June in the virtual court room created on Google meet, where the participants sought answers to their queries and clarifications, the organizing committee then begun with the draw of lots where the teams received the team match up and also picked the sides that they would be representing before the hon'ble bench. The draw of lots was followed by the exchanging of the memorials by the organizing committee. The teams were composed of 2 litigants (Senior/ Main counsel and Co-counsel), 1 researcher and 2 witnesses from the 1<sup>st</sup> year of BA LLB course. The teams were given 30 minutes to present their case before the hon'ble bench, which was divided into 4 segments, an opening statement by both the counsels to introduce the case to the judges, followed by the examination in chief of the prosecution witnesses first and then their cross examination by the defence counsel, which was followed by the examination in chief by the defence and then the cross examination by the prosecution and then resting the case with their respective closing statements.

The competition, begun on 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 and the day started with a briefing session with the judges of the preliminary rounds, the briefing session took place at 10:30A.M on 13<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 in a virtual court room and the judges were welcomed and addressed by Dr. Praveen Gupta, then the Organizing committee undertook the briefing and following the briefing, a bench of one academician and one lawyer to really holistically scrutinise the litigants and judge their court craft and advocacy skills and legal knowledge. After the nail biting prelims round, where all the participants brought their best foot forward only 4 teams moved forward to the Semi Finals round.

The Semi Finals and Final rounds took place on 14<sup>th</sup> June, 2020 (Sunday) and the day started with a briefing and welcome to the judges at 10:30 AM, following which, the bench of one academician and one advocate entered the court rooms at 11:00 AM and started the court proceedings for the Semi Final rounds. The Semi final rounds were very exciting, all the teams performed with utmost diligence and presented critical legal facts, their witnesses portrayed their characters so well that even the benches were moved to sweat. The Semi Finals came to an end at around 1:00 PM followed by the declaration of result where Team 02 and Team 25 moved forward to the final rounds, followed by a draw of lots at 2:00 PM.

The organizing committee undertook the final briefing of the Final rounds' judges at 02:45PM. On 14<sup>th</sup> June, which was presided over by Mr. Ravi Kumar Gupta and Dr. Praveen gupta who welcomed and address the Finals'bench including Mr. Wasim Beg ( Partner L&L partners), Mr.



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

Swarnendu Chatterjee (Sr. Advocate L&L partners) and Mr. Ritwik Mittal (AOR Supreme Court), the judges finally entered the court room at 03:00 PM and addressed the litigants and started with the court proceedings. Team Code- 02 represented the prosecution and Team Code- 25 was defence in the matter of *State of Golkhund v. Farhan Querashi and Ors.*, and both the teams started the proceedings with blazing opening statements to establish their case before the hon'ble bench, following their opening statements, the State conducted the Examination-in- Chief of their witnesses to substantiate their case with material witnesses, then the Defence counsel got the opportunity to cross examine the prosecution witnesses to break the prosecution's case, following this the defence counsel presented their witnesses through their examination-in-chief and then the State cross examined their witnesses, following which the teams presented their closing statements to rest the case and convince the bench to deliver a judgement in their favour. The teams illustrated determination, stern command over advocacy skills and turned the virtual court room into a real district court.

After the final rounds, the competition successfully came to an end with the announcement of results, with Team 25 winning the competition and Team 02 as the runner up. Team 25 also secured the prize for the best memorial. The best Advocate award was given to Ms. Prachi Ahuja. Also she was vehemently appreciated by the judges for her advocacy skills. The competition came to a successful and enriching end after the announcement of the result, the organizing committee addressed the participants and the volunteers and expressed their gratitude for their participation and support in making the event a success. The students described the experience as a stimulating and unparalleled one, they shared their feedback with enthusiasm, and all the teams regardless of their year showed great promise and performed their roles with excellence and conviction. This event really helped the students connect with each other and form links and friendships regardless of their seniority.

**Moot Court Society**


**Nisha Dhanraj Dewani & Aarushi Aggarwal**






**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

### Preliminary rounds 13/06/2020



### Semi Final 14/06/2020





**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

**Final Rounds 14/06/2020**

Meeting details

People (23) Chat

EIC: 12 MINUTES  
CROSS EXAMINATION: 10 MINUTES  
CLOSING STATEMENTS: 5 MINUTES.

Abhishek Vats 3:09 PM  
DEFENSE :

OPENING STATEMENTS: 3 MINS.  
CHIEF : 11 MINS.  
CROSS : 13 MINS.  
CLOSING: 3 MINS.

Ravi Kumar Gupta 3:10 PM  
Greetings to all from Dr Ravi Kumar Gupta  
Director, MAIMS, New Delhi

Nisha Dhanraj 3:11 PM  
Good Afternoon Sir

Aarushi Agarwal 3:11 PM  
Good afternoon Sir, we all welcome you sir

Ravi Kumar Gupta 3:12 PM  
It is wonderful show going on, efforts are appreciated.

Aarushi Agarwal 3:12 PM  
Thanks a lot sir.

Send a message to everyone





**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
 Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
 Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
 Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

### **LIST OF PARTICIPANTS**

Full Name	Email Address	Team Codes	Class and Section	Phone Number	Participating as
MANIKA BAJAJ	manikabajaj1999@gmail.com	TA-02	6A	9205772636	Speaker
Shivam Upadhyay	shiva41755@gmail.com	TA-02	4B	7999848189	Researcher
Vibhor Tewari	tewarivibhor08@gmail.com	TA-02	4A	9560876798	Speaker
Priyavrat sharma	sharmapriyvratl@gmail.com	TA-02	2B	9997029298	Witness
Sheetal Prajapati	sheetalprajapati547@gmail.com	TA-02	2A	98104 86055, 9971086059	Witness
JATIN KUMAR	jatinkumar02071999@gmail.com	TA-05	6B	9599669019, 8178900000	Speaker
Ashutosh Mahendrou	ashutosh.mahendrou@gmail.com	TA-05	2A	7428558300	Witness
Geetanjali Negi	negigeetanjali153@gmail.com	TA-05	6B	9205802835	Researcher
Devansh Khatter	devanshkhatter525@gmail.com	TA-05	2A	8076029188	Witness
Harsh Sharma	harsh.sharma5897.hs@gmail.com	TA-05	6B	8791403029	Speaker
Aayushman Bhatt	aayushmanbhatt706@gmail.com	TA-08	2A	7065417458	Witness
Sarthak Budhiraja	sarthakbudhiraja15@gmail.com	TA-08	4B	8588083076	Speaker
Anshita Khandelwal	anshikhhandelwal699@gmail.com	TA-08	4A	08130092275	Speaker
Rohan Burman	rohanburman9@gmail.com	TA-08	4B	8851449339	Researcher
Aditya Dikshit	adgkp02@gmail.com	TA-08	2A	6306896550	Witness
Aarushi singh	arushisinghas21@gmail.com	TA-10	2A	9457477462	Witness
Princee Jain	jainprincy075@gmail.com	TA-10	4B	8076539407	Speaker
Shailja singh	shailjasingh2k@gmail.com	TA-10	4B	8750043001	Speaker
PRIYANSHI VERMA	priyanshiverma1800@gmail.com	TA-10	4B	9810808528	Researcher



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
 Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
 Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
 Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

Ritika Roy	ritikaroy52490@gmail.com	TA-10	2A	9599568985	Witness
Vikash kumar	vikasbiyahut1999@gmail.com	TA-12	4B	8750047107	Speaker
Saakshi Khandelwal	saakshikhandelwal15@gmail.com	TA-12	4B	8586996807	Speaker
Muskan Gupta	0002navita25@gmail.com	TA-12	2A	8588085260	Witness
Akanksha Mishra	akankmish1@gmail.com	TA-12	2A	8860178102	Witness
SONAKSHI CHATURVEDI	sonakshi12.sc@gmail.com	TA-12	4B	9891171809	Researcher
Kashish Wadhwa	wadhwakashish22@gmail.com	TA-13	4A	9953204637	Researcher
Muskan jain	muskan.18j@gmail.com	TA-13	2A	9717378992	Witness
Rohan Gupta	guptarohan726@gmail.com	TA-13	4-B	9990010895	Speaker
Harsh Maheshwari	harsh.maheshwari2013@gmail.com	TA-13	4A	8744874879	Speaker
SIDDHARTH	gtm26siddharth@gmail.com	TA-13	2A	8920978866	Witness
Harshita Gogia	gogiaharshita@gmail.com	TA-16	4A	9811608011	Speaker
Aditi Arora	aditi0610arora@gmail.com	TA-16	4A	9717354333	Speaker
ISHIKA CHAUHAN	ishika.chauhan2001@gmail.com	TA-16	2B	9205505279	Witness
Yash Gangwani	yashgangwani73@gmail.com	TA-16	4A	9804080409	Researcher
Saransh Attri	attri.saransh@gmail.com	TA-16	2A	9205003069	Witness
Sunjoey Kaur Gulati	sunjoeygulati@gmail.com	TA-25	6B	8800392853	Speaker
Prachi Ahuja	prachiahuja2831@gmail.com	TA-25	6B	8527046086	Speaker
Kashika Kapoor	kashika.kapoor14.kk@gmail.com	TA-25	2B	9999411403	Witness
Palak kalra	kalrapalak50@gmail.com	TA-25	6B	8920578420	Researcher
SMARTH ARORA	smartharora37@gmail.com	TA-25	2A	09971025870	Witness
<b>TOTAL</b>					<b>40</b>



**Maharaja Agrasen Institute of Management Studies**  
 Affiliated to GGS IP University; Recognized u/s 2(f) of UGC  
 Recognized by Bar Council of India; ISO 9001: 2015 Certified Institution  
 Sector 22, Rohini, Delhi -110086, India; [www.maims.ac.in](http://www.maims.ac.in)

### **FINAL RESULTS**

<b>TEAM CODE</b>	<b>NAME OF PARTICIPANTS</b>	<b>AWARD</b>
TA- 25	KASHIKA KAPOOR PALAK KALRA PRACHI AHUJA SMARTH ARORA SUNJOEY KAUR GULATI	WINNING TEAM
TA-02	MANIKA BAJAJ PRIYAVRAT SHARMA SHEETAL PRAJAPATI SHIVAM UPADHYAY VIBHOR TEWARI	RUNNER UP TEAM
TA- 25	KASHIKA KAPOOR PALAK KALRA PRACHI AHUJA SMARTH ARORA SUNJOEY KAUR GULATI	BEST MEMORIAL
TA- 25	PALAK KALRA	BEST RESEARCHER
TA- 25	PRACHI AHUJA	BEST STUDENT ADVOCATE